Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/648,385	DOW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dean O Takaoka	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dean O Takaoka</u> .	(3)	
(2) William E. Curry.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(e)		
Claims discussed: 13,15,16, and 17		
Prior art documents discussed: Pankinaho		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a segment of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a segment of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a segment of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	ion. The examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With respect to Applicant's amendment dated August 25, 2004, it was the position of the Examiner that amended claims 13,15,16 and 17 remained anticipated by Pankinaho where Pankinaho further teaches where the cut or notch reduces resonance (col. 8, lines 21-23), further where Pankinaho shows a non-continuous cut (Fig. 12c). In view of the teachings of Pankinaho, the Examiner requested the Applicant to cancel claims 13,15,16 and 17 in order to advance prosecution of the application and place it in condition for allowance. It was agreed claims 13, 15, 16, and 17 would be cancelled and minor changes to be made to claim 14,all changes made by Examiner's amendment.